

THE UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG

ACS-3911-050 Computer Network

Chapter 3 Transport Layer



A note on the use of these PowerPoint slides:

We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a lot of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2016 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved





- **3.1 transport-layer services**
- **3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing**
- **3.3 connectionless transport: UDP**
- **3.4 principles of reliable data transfer**
- **3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP**
 - segment structure
 - reliable data transfer
 - flow control
 - connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control



congestion:

- informally: "too many sources sending too much data too fast for network to handle"
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
 - Iost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
 - Iong delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!

Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 1





Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 2



- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of timed-out packet
 - application-layer input = application-layer output: λ_{in} = λ_{out}
 - transport-layer input includes retransmissions : $\lambda_{in} \geq \lambda_{in}$















Idealization: known loss packets can be lost, dropped at router due to full buffers

sender only resends if packet known to be lost



Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 2





packets can be lost, dropped at router due to full buffers

۰in

 sender times out prematurely, sending two copies, both of which are delivered

Α

Host B

Realistic: duplicates



free buffer space!

 λ_{out}

when sending at R/2, some packets are retransmissions including duplicated that are delivered!

λ_{out}

R/2

 λ_{in}

Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 2



packets can be lost, dropped R/2at router due to full buffers

 sender times out prematurely, sending two copies, both of which are delivered

Realistic: duplicates



when sending at R/2, some packets are retransmissions including duplicated that are delivered!

R/2

"costs" of congestion:

- more work (retrans) for given "goodput" *
- unneeded retransmissions: link carries multiple copies of pkt
 - decreasing goodput



Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 3



- four senders
- multihop paths
- timeout/retransmit

Q: what happens as λ_{in} and λ_{in} increase ? <u>A</u>: as red λ_{in} increases, all arriving blue pkts at upper queue are dropped, blue throughput $\rightarrow 0$



Causes/Costs of Congestion: Scenario 3





another "cost" of congestion:

 when packet dropped, any "upstream transmission capacity used for that packet was wasted!



- **3.1 transport-layer services**
- **3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing**
- **3.3 connectionless transport: UDP**
- **3.4 principles of reliable data transfer**
- **3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP**
 - segment structure
 - reliable data transfer
 - flow control
 - connection management
- **3.6 principles of congestion control**
- **3.7 TCP congestion control**



- approach: sender increases transmission rate (window size), probing for usable bandwidth, until loss occurs
 - additive increase: increase cwnd by I MSS every RTT until loss detected
 - multiplicative decrease: cut cwnd in half after loss



time

TCP Congestion Control: Details





• sender limits transmission:

LastByteSent-LastByteAcked ≤ cwnd

 cwnd is dynamic, function of perceived network congestion TCP sending rate:

 roughly: send cwnd bytes, wait RTT for ACKS, then send more bytes





- when connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
 - initially cwnd = 1 MSS
 - double cwnd every RTT
 - done by incrementing cwnd for every ACK received
- <u>summary</u>: initial rate is slow but ramps up exponentially fast





- loss indicated by timeout:
 - cwnd set to I MSS;
 - window then grows exponentially (as in slow start) to threshold, then grows linearly
- loss indicated by 3 duplicate ACKs: TCP RENO
 - dup ACKs indicate network capable of delivering some segments
 - cwnd is cut in half window then grows linearly
- TCP Tahoe always sets cwnd to 1 (timeout or 3 duplicate acks)

TCP: Switching From Slow Start To CA



- Q: when should the exponential increase switch to linear?
- A: when cwnd gets to 1/2 of its value before timeout.



Implementation:

- variable ssthresh
- on loss event, ssthresh is set to 1/2 of cwnd just before loss event

Summary: TCP Congestion Control





DISCOVER · ACHIEVE · BELONG



- * avg. TCP thruput as function of window size, RTT?
 - ignore slow start, assume always data to send
- W: window size (measured in bytes) where loss occurs
 - avg. window size (# in-flight bytes) is ³/₄ W
 - avg. thruput is 3/4W per RTT





fairness goal: if K TCP sessions share same bottleneck link of bandwidth R, each should have average rate of R/K





two competing sessions:

- additive increase gives slope of 1, as throughout increases
- multiplicative decrease decreases throughput proportionally





Fairness and UDP

- multimedia apps often do not use TCP
 - do not want rate throttled by congestion control

instead use UDP:

 send audio/video at constant rate, tolerate packet loss

Fairness, parallel TCP connections

- application can open multiple parallel connections between two hosts
- web browsers do this
- e.g., link of rate R with 9 existing connections:
 - new app asks for I TCP, gets rate R/10
 - new app asks for 11 TCPs, gets R/2

Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)



network-assisted congestion control:

- two bits in IP header (ToS field) marked by network router to indicate congestion
- congestion indication carried to receiving host
- receiver (seeing congestion indication in IP datagram)) sets ECE bit on receiver-to-sender ACK segment to notify sender of congestion



DISCOVER · ACHIEVE · BELONG

Summary



- Principles behind transport layer services:
 - multiplexing, demultiplexing
 - reliable data transfer
 - flow control
 - congestion control
- Instantiation, implementation in the Internet
 - UDP
 - TCP

<u>next:</u>

- Leaving the network "edge" (application, transport layers)
- Into the network "core"





